

**REMARKS**

**Certified Copy of Priority Document:**

Applicant is concurrently filing herewith a certified copy of the priority document JP 2000-232710, and hereby requests the Examiner acknowledge receipt thereof.

**Claim Rejections:**

Claims 1-5 are all of the claims pending in the present application, and currently all of the claims stand rejected.

***35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejection - Claims 1-4:***

Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,859,594 to King et al. With regard to this rejection, we have the following comments.

King is directed to a pager system which displays information on one of either a large information display 120 or a small information display 110. See Figure 1. When the information that is displayed is small in amount, it is displayed on the screen 110 to conserve power. Thus, the larger screen 120 is only used when needed to display a larger amount of data. This conserves energy.

Unlike the present invention, there is no disclosure of the device being able to display more than one color. King is merely directed to displaying monochromatic data on each of the displays. Thus, the device in King is incapable of having “a display mode selection part for selecting a display mode in which contents of data contained in said signals are capable of being displayed with a plurality of display colors.” See claim 1. Therefore, for at least this reason,

Applicant submits that King fails to teach or suggest each and every feature of the claimed invention.

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that King fails to teach or suggest each and every feature of the claimed invention, set forth in at least claim 1. Therefore, Applicant submits that the Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, as required under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Accordingly, Applicant hereby requests the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the above 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection of claims 1-4.

***35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Rejection - Claim 5:***

Claim 5 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over King in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,390,293 to Nishioka et al. However, as claim 5 depends on claim 1 and because Nishioka fails to cure the deficient teachings of King, Applicant submits that this claim is also allowable, at least by reason of its dependence.

**Conclusion:**


In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.111  
Application Number 09/919,611

Our Ref: Q65615  
Art Unit: 2675

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Terrance J. Wikberg  
Registration No. 47,177

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 29, 2004